



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/528,400

03/17/2005

Haral Sieke

AP051-05

5010

10037 7590 06/12/2008

MILDE & HOFFBERG, LLP  
10 BANK STREET  
SUITE 460  
WHITE PLAINS, NY 10606

EXAMINER

LANDRUM, EDWARD F

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3724

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/12/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/528,400	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SIEKE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Edward F. Landrum	<b>Art Unit</b> 3724	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Edward F. Landrum.

(3) Karl Milde.

(2) Boyer Ashley.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 06 June 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Demonstration of how the cutting unit worked.

Claim(s) discussed: 34.

Identification of prior art discussed: Wessels, Freeland, Diebold, Metzel (DE 3510738 A1), Wustenberg (DE 8716269.5), Heffner (DE 9311510.5), Fottner (DE8633847.2).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed ways to amend claim 34 to overcome the prior art discussed during the interview. It was determined the best way would be to include limitations disclosing the measuring device shown in Figure 23. Including how the measuring device was attached to the cutting unit and the body such that a user could accurately cut a windshield wiper blade.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Edward F Landrum/  
Examiner, Art Unit 3724

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required